PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 0 2 NOV 2006 INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILIT (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

<u>'Y</u>	
WIPO	PCT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

'Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACT	TION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No.	International filing date (c	lay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/US04/35220	PCT/US04/35220 25 October 2004 (25.10.2004) 24 October 2003 (24.10.2003)		24 October 2003 (24.10.2003)		
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or national classification and	I IPC			
IPC: C12N 5/02(2006.01) USPC: 435/377,375					
Applicant					
CHENG, TAO					
	1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.				
2. This REPORT consists of	a total of 🔼 sheets, incl	uding this cover sheet	t.		
3. This report is also accompa	anied by ANNEXES, cor	nprising:			
a. (sent to the applica	nt and to the Internation	al Bureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:		
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).					
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.					
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in electronic form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).					
4. This report contains indica	tions relating to the follo	wing items:			
Box No. I Ba	asis of the report				
Box No. II Pr	iority				
	on-establishment of opini plicability	on with regard to nov	velty, inventive step and industrial		
Box No. IV La	ack of unity of invention				
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
Box No. VI Ce	ertain documents cited				
Box No. VII Ce	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application				
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application			tion		
Date of submission of the demand Date of		Date of completion	of this report		
25 October 2004 (25.10.2004)		14 September 2006 (1	4.09.2006)		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US		Authorized officer	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		
Commissioner for Patents		Michael Wityshyn	Council Told		
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450			Janue Ford		
Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201 Form PCT/IPEA (400 (cover sheet) (April 2005)		Telephone No. 571-2	1/2-1000		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.	
PCT/LIS04/35220	

Box	No.	I Basis of the report				
1.	With	regard to the language, this report is based on:				
	\boxtimes	the international application in the language in which it was filed.				
		a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:				
		international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))				
		publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4(a))				
		international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))				
	to the	regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not ted to this report):				
	\boxtimes	the international application as originally filed/furnished				
	\boxtimes	the description:				
		pages 1-25 as originally filed/furnished				
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on				
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on				
	\boxtimes	the claims:				
		pages 26-28 as originally filed/furnished				
		pages* NONE as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19				
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on				
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on				
	\boxtimes	the drawings:				
		pages 1/18-18/18 as originally filed/furnished				
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on				
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on				
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.				
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description, pages				
		the claims, Nos				
		the drawings, sheets/figs				
		the sequence listing (specify):				
		any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):				
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).				
		the description, pages				
		the claims, Nos.				
		the drawings, sheets/figs				
		the sequence listing (specify):				
		any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):				
						
*	* If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."					

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. I) (April 2005)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US04/35220

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1. Statement				
Novelty (N)	Claims 1-22	YES		
Novelly (11)	Claims NONE	NO		
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims 1-22	YES		
	Claims NONE	NO		
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims 1-22	YES		
industrial Applicability (171)	Claims NONE	NO		
in order to promote self-renewal of the stem cells (i.e. to consider the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2) p18 ^{1NK4c} expression in order to promote self-renewal of hexpression in hematopoietic cell proliferation and different strong inhibitor of stem-cell self-renewal, thus, by downcells.	n under the "Certain Observations;" however, in order to provide corown-regulating the intracellular p18 INK4c protein level within human proliferate stem cells in an undifferentiated state). (a), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest down-reguman-compatible stem cells. Prior to the instant application the role intiation was not fully understood; the instant application shows p18 regulating expression of the protein one can promote self-renewal or and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter classification.	gulating e of 18 ^{INK4c} I ^{NK4c} to be a f stem-		

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. V) (April 2005)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/35220

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claims 1-9 and 19-22 are objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) as lacking clarity under PCT Article 6 because claims 1-9 and 19-22 are indefinite for the following reason(s):

First, it is noted claims 1-9 and 19-22 are directed to methods which involve controlling self-renewal of a population of human-compatible stem cells, yet none of the claims recite or define a positive method step to control the self-renewal of the stem cells. It is noted the specification suggests the intracellular p18 levels can be transiently controlled by inhibiting expression of the gene by adding an inhibitor of the gene, or by inhibiting the promoter of the gene; such positive steps must be claimed to properly define a method.

Second, it is unclear what is meant by controlling the cells "in an intracellular environment substantially free of p18." "Intracellular" is recognized by those of ordinary skill in the art to mean "within the cell", thus the claim does not make sense as to how a population of cells is controlled within a single cell.

Third, the claim fails to recite whether an intracellular environment substantially free of p18 positively or negatively controls self-renewal of the population of 'human-compatible' stem cells; clearly reduction in intracellular p18 only results in unidirectional control. From the specification it appears an intracellular environment substantially free of p18 results in increased self-renewal of said cell population, such must be clear in the claims.

Claims 14-18 are objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) as lacking clarity under PCT Article 6 because claims 14-18 are indefinite for the following reason(s): Claims 14-18 are directed to a composition of matter, as defined by claim 10; however, claims 14-18 do not further limit or define the composition of matter, per se, but rather define limitations directed to intended use and methods involving the composition of matter.

Claims 1-22 are objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) as lacking clarity under PCT Article 6 because claims 1-22 are indefinite because the term "p18" is not limited to the CKI p18^{lNK4c} protein, but refers to any 18 kDa protein; therefore it is unclear which 18kDa protein applicants are intending to refer to. Applicant must precisely describe the gene they are intending to control.